(1)

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
CHHATTISGARH

SINGLE BENCH

W. P. No. 1831/2006.

PETITIONER : Shri Sheo Kumar Vastrakar S/o- Shri Gayaram Vastrakar Aged aboput 35 years, Assistant Veterinary Field Officer, R/o- of Village Gataura District- Bilaspur (C.G.)

R.R. No. 1882/06
Presented by Shri Kumar Das
dated 5/4/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1) State of Chhattisgarh Through:- Secretary Agricultural (Pashupalan) Department D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
5.4.06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2) Director, Veterinary Services, Directorate of Veterinary Raipur (C.G.)

3) Assistant Director, In charge :- Pashu Mata Mahamari Unmulan Yojana, Bilaspur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/ 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-22

(22)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

# आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 1831/06 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|
| | **20-4-2006**<br>Shri Anoop Majumdar, counsel for the petitioner.<br>Shri Sushil Dubey, Govt. Advocate for the State.<br>Heard.<br>Counsel for the petitioner submits that vide order dated 16/12/2005 (Annex.P/1), the petitioner has been transferred from Mata Mahamari Anugami Unit, Bilaspur to Bilaspur without following the transfer policy. He further submits that the petitioner submitted the representation (Annex.P/4) which is still pending. Counsel referred to the interim order (Annex.P/5) passed by this Court in W.P. No.54/2006 and prays for interim relief.<br>Counsel for the State on the other hand submits that by the impugned order the petitioner has only been directed to work in Zila Pashu Rog Anusandhan Proyagshala, Bilaspur. Counsel also submits that the interim order passed by this Court in W.P. No.54/2006 relates to the transfer from Raigarh to Mahasamund and the said case is not similar to the present one.<br>At this stage, counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be allowed to withdraw the petition with a direction to the respondent authorities to decide the representation (Annex.P/4) on its own merits in accordance with law.<br>Not opposed.<br>Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the respondent authorities to decide the representation (Annexure-P/4) of the petitioner as early as possible on its own merits in accordance with law.<br>With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.<br>Consequently, M.W.P. No.1395/2006 also stands disposed of.<br>Certified copy as per rules.<br>Sd/-<br>Fakhruddin<br>Judge | |

H/-

[पीछे देखिये